

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/768,537 | 01/30/2004 | Ruth Schaefer Gayde | LUTZ 2 00240 | 6580 |
| 48116 7590 06/10/2009 FAY SHARPE/LLICENT | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor | | | KANE, CORDELIA P | |
| The Halle Buil Cleveland, OH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2432 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/768,537 | GAYDE ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CORDELIA KANE | 2432 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | n appears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence address | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a | aaress |
|---|----------------------|
| This application is abandoned in view of: | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 30 July 2008. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the period for reply (including a total extension of time of more), which expired on | e expiration of the |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which p application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper re final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | ply, to the non- |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory perior from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or T), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) Allowance (PTOL-65). | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the N Allowability (PTO-37). | lotice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated after the expiration of the period for reply. |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire the applicants. | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for se of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | eking court review |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below: | |
| | |
| /Gilberto Barron Jr./ /C. K./ | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432 Examiner, Art Unit 2432 | |
| Politions to review under 37 CED 1 137(a) or (b) or requests to withdraw the holding of shoodenment under 37 CED 1 101, should be | a promptly filed to |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)